



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/626,834

07/21/2003

Jean-Marc Karl Edgard Maurice Virgin

HOE-767

9246

20028

7590

09/22/2009

Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC  
755 MAIN STREET  
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

THOMAS, LUCY M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2836

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/22/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/626,834	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VIRGIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lucy Thomas	<b>Art Unit</b> 2836	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lucy Thomas. (3) Douglas M. McAllister.

(2) Fritz Fleming. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 82.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thrash and Saito.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 82 in view of Figure 9, and Specification, pages 22-23 which states that Figure 9 is the optimal embodiment is considered, and would reconsider the specific arguments to overcome the rejection of record when filed. Also discussed arguments regarding parallel vs serial arcs, and no agreement is reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Fritz M Fleming/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836	
--	--